



WORLD SHIPPING COUNCIL
PARTNERS IN TRADE

Air Emissions from Ships: The Changing Landscape

Bryan Wood-Thomas
World Shipping Council

Mobile Source Tech Review
SubCommittee
4 May 2009



World Shipping Council

- Represent global liner industry
- Carry 90 - 95% of the world's containers
- 29 Member Companies
 - Container ships
 - Transoceanic Vehicle Carriers



Overview

- **Annex VI and the Evolution of Marine Standards**
- **Key Questions & Challenges:**
 - Fuel Availability
 - Scrubbers ?
- **Climate – Where is the Debate going in the IMO?**
- **Prospects for the Future**



New International Standards

New Standards will result in large reductions

New engines

Tier 2: 20% reduction from
Tier 1 in 2012

Tier 3: 80% reduction from
Tier 1 in 2016

Geographic standard –
applies in Emission
Control Areas (ECAs)

Fuel Sulfur Limits (to address SO_x and PM)

March 2010: 10,000 ppm in ECAs

2012: Global cap falls to 35,000
ppm

2015: ECA cap falls to 1,000 ppm

2020: Global cap falls to 5,000
ppm - subject to a review in 2018



Effects of the New Annex VI Standards

- **Costs will be significant, but uniform across competitors.**
- **New engine technologies will emerge to meet the Tier III NOx standards.**
 - **Some will drive a departure from the usual trade-off between NOx and CO2.**
- **Requirements to burn cleaner 1000 PPM fuel in 2015 represent a significant change in demand in the international oil market.**

What Engine Technologies Will emerge to meet Tier III?

- **SCR-based Systems**
 - Allows dramatic Improvement in NO_x emissions w/o traditional trade-off in fuel economy
 - Requires urea and presents challenges for some other technology applications
- **Advanced EGR with HAM**
 - Test bed efforts underway to reach Tier III levels



The Global Fuels Market

- Demand for lighter fuels is increasing ...
- Uncertainty in supply will be a reality
- Challenges to the 1000 ppm standard likely to emerge
- Scrubbers – Are they a viable option?



Exhaust Gas Cleaning



- **Seawater scrubbers**
- **Freshwater systems**
- **Other ...**

The New Annex VI Standards

What Do the Standards Mean for the future regulatory debate at the IMO?

- The negotiating dynamic at the IMO has shifted
- Key industry groups see strong standards in their best interest
- Climate regulation is now the top priority for action at the IMO



CO2 Generation in the Global Supply Chain

- Marine transportation accounts for some 2- 3.5% of total anthropogenic CO2 emissions worldwide.
- Generation of CO2 in the transoceanic leg is tremendously low when compared to all other transportation options
- What does this suggest about future trends in a changing economy?
 - movement of production?
 - vessel speed?
 - changes in design?



The GHG Debate at the IMO

- Development of a legally-binding treaty is under debate
- Scope of application is highly contentious
- Most parties want universal application
- What system is to be employed?
 - Fuel tax
 - Mandatory efficiency standards
 - Trading scheme
 - A hybrid of above approaches



UNFCCC

Basic Elements of the WSC Proposal

- Efficiency Standards for both new & existing ships
- Standards for New Builds
 - New builds built after date X meet specific efficiency standard. Segregate new builds from existing ships.
- Standards for Existing Ships
 - Existing ships of a particular class & size meet a given standard in 20XX. More limited number of tiers.
- Tiered Standards
 - Standards to become more stringent over time



How Does the Approach Work?

- **Only existing vessels that fail to meet standard would be subject to fuel charges**
- **Charges tied to fuel consumption**
 - Existing ships failing to meet standard pay charge per tonne of fuel consumed.
 - The total charge is commensurate with how much a given vessel is operated, and
 - The amount assessed per tonne of fuel would be adjusted relative to how much the vessel falls short of the applicable standard

Calculating charges applicable to a Vessel

- For illustration purposes, assume that the standard applicable to a particular ship class and size is *16 grams per ton mile* and that a given ship is 25% less efficient than the standard, and the vessel consumes 50,000 tonnes of fuel.

- The applicable fee would be calculated as follows:

$$1 - \frac{20 \text{ grams per ton mile}}{16 \text{ grams per ton mile}} \times \$50 \times 50,000 = \$625,000$$

- For a vessel 50% less efficient:

$$1 - \frac{24 \text{ grams per ton mile}}{16 \text{ grams per ton mile}} \times \$50 \times 50,000 = \$1,250,000$$

Where Can We Expect the IMO Debate on GHG to Go?

- **Development of mandatory efficiency standards for new builds is highly likely.**
- **Industry is fully supportive of a global, legally-binding treaty.**
- **Scope of application and what type of system or treaty architecture is most appropriate will remain contentious.**
- **At the WSC, we believe an efficiency-based scheme drawing on elements tabled by the U.S., Denmark, the WSC, and Japan will produce the most effective regime.**

Why We Should Be Optimistic About Future Progress

- We have seen a major shift in the nature of the maritime environmental debate
 - support for stringent international standards
 - greater awareness in the regulatory community
- Global standards that are also responsive to the needs of specific geographical conditions have become widely supported.





WORLD SHIPPING COUNCIL
PARTNERS IN TRADE

Questions



Bryan Wood-Thomas, Vice-President
World Shipping Council

bwoodthomas@worldshipping.org